

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Address: COMMISSIONER (1997) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,496	05/10/2001	Kaoru Murata	0425-0837P	5554	
2292	7590 11/14/200	3	EXAMINER		
	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			THERKORN, ERNEST G	
FALLS CH	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER	
			1723		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No. 20031106
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Attachment(s)			
Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet(state of the content of t	at any objection to the drawin s) including the correction is objected to by the Examin d 120 of a claim for foreign prior None of: ne priority documents hav the priority documents hav de copies of the priority do International Bureau (PC ffice action for a list of the f a claim for domestic prior as included in the first sen foreign language provision of a claim for domestic prior	ng(s) be held in abeyance. Serequired if the drawing(s) is obser. Note the attached Office rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a been received in Application of the property of the property of the property of the specification of the specification of the application of the specification of the specification of the application has been received the property under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a been received the specification of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). e Action or form PTO-152. a)-(d) or (f). ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived.
9)☐ The specification is objecte 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on		I or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.
	da butha Francisco		
8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers		ction requirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	-		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow 6) Claim(s) <u>2,8-13 and 16-18</u>			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn fro		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,8-13 and 16-18</u>	is/are pending in the app	olication.	
Disposition of Claims	and practice under Ex par	Quayio, 1000 O.D. 11, 4.	00 0.0. 210.
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with		xcept for formal matters, pro	
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action	n is non-final.	
1) Responsive to communica	ition(s) filed on <u>14 Octobe</u>	er 2003.	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS C Extensions of time may be available under- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat If the period for reply specified above is lest- Il NO period for reply is specified above, the Faiture to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If e of this communication. It than thirty (30) days, a reply within maximum statutory period will appleed of the propertion of the properties	In no event, however, may a reply be tir	mely filed
Period for Reply			(0) 57014
The MAILING DATE of this		est G. Therkorn on the cover sheet with the o	1723
Office Action Sum	LAS	aminer	Art Unit
		831,496	MURATA ET AL.
4	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/831,496

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 2, 8-13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are directed to an improper Markush group. The species do not "(1) share a common utility, and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed to be essential to that utility."

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 8, 16/8, 17/16/8, and 18/8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). The claims are considered to read on Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821), it would reside in optimizing the steps and elements of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). It would have been obvious to optimize the steps and elements of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) to enhance separation.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,496

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 2, 8-13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). At best, the claims differ from Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in reciting a flow velocity gradient of 250 microliters per minute or less. Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) (column 1, lines 11-30) discloses that a flow rate of 100 microliters per minute is frequently used in chromatography. It would have been obvious to use a flow of 100 microliters per minute in Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) because Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) (column 1, lines 11-30) discloses that a flow rate of 100 microliters per minute is frequently used in chromatography.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) as applied to claims 2, 8-13, and 16-18 above, and further in view of Snyder, Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, 1979, pages 560-561. At best, the claims differ from Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) in reciting analyzing a trace amount of a component. Snyder, Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, 1979, pages 560-561 discloses that liquid chromatography is a powerful and widely used technique for analyzing trace components. It would have been obvious to analyze trace component in Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) because Snyder, Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, 1979, pages 560-561 discloses that liquid chromatography is a powerful and widely used technique for analyzing trace components.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,496

Art Unit: 1723

The remarks urge that the species share a substantial structural feature disclosed to be essential to that utility. However, the structural feature disclosed to be essential to the utility of one species is that the solvent inlet tube and the solvent outlet tube have different diameters. The structural feature disclosed to be essential to the utility of the other species is a solvent outlet tube connected to a solvent inlet by a connecting part having a diameter that is larger than the diameters of the solvent inlet and solvent outlet tube. As such, the structural features disclosed to be essential to that utility are different. Accordingly, the claims are directed to an improper Markush group.

The remarks appear to urge patentability based upon the allegation that Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) and Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) do not show a diffusion promoting device just before a separation column. However, Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) on column 3, lines 56-58 discloses that mixing chamber 23 is connected to a chromatographic analytical instrument. Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) on column 4, lines 36-38 discloses that mixing chamber 23 is illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. The diffusion promoting device reads on these figures. Accordingly, Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) discloses a diffusion promoting device just before a separation column. In addition, Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) on column 6, lines 30-35 discloses use of a pipe of larger diameter than the rest of the pipes in the system going into the separation column. The diffusion promoting device reads on this structure. Accordingly, Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) discloses a diffusion promoting device just before a separation column.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT November 6, 2003